PUBLIC-INTEREST REPORT / 17 NOVEMBER 2026

# Glendale law-enforcement accountability: public records and reported allegations

Subject: Jeffrey J. Antenucci, identified in public Glendale payroll records as a police officer.

Publication character. This document is an AI-assisted evaluation and editorial opinion concerning a matter of public interest. It draws on specified public records and on descriptions of reported allegations. It is not issued by a government agency and does not state that any named person has been adjudicated guilty.

Research description. AI-assisted deep search identified public payroll, pension, law and complaint-process materials. Public sources in this release corroborate employment and legal context; they do not independently corroborate the misconduct allegations in the register below. Source-specific evidence exhibits or docket identifiers have not been supplied for those allegations.

Photograph. No photograph appears because no reliable identity-matched portrait was located.

| PUBLIC RECORD | WHAT IT SHOWS |
|---|---|
| Glendale compensation<br>R1 / R2 | 2024 total pay approximately $186,324; benefits approximately $80,274; police-officer record, with 2020 recruit entry. These amounts do not show preferential treatment or unlawful conduct. |
| Separate LAPD pension entry<br>R3 | An agency document lists James M. Antenucci, Lieutenant II, in a 2018 DROP/pension entry. It does not establish kinship with Jeffrey, police authority after retirement, or misconduct. |

## How to read the register

Every numbered item is a reported allegation, hypothesis, or question, not a proven offense. Item numbering is an organizational index, not a criminal count. The source register supports only the narrow public-record facts it identifies.

## Local presence

**01** Repeated local presence. Reported repeated presence or patrols near community institutions, businesses and other locations.

## Sexual misconduct reports

**02** Sexual misconduct allegations. Allegations of serious sexual misconduct connected to law-enforcement encounters.

**03** Custodial molestation allegation. An allegation of unwanted sexual contact involving a person held in custody.

**04** Possible coordinated misconduct. A concern that misconduct may involve multiple persons; a proposed investigative theory, not a finding that any network exists.

05 Relatives of convicted persons. An allegation of targeting or predatory behavior involving family members of persons convicted of felonies.

06 Sexualized treatment of arrestees. Allegations of inappropriate sexualized remarks, treatment or exploitation during arrests or detention.

## Seizure and detention

07 Authority outside normal jurisdiction. An allegation that an arrest occurred outside ordinary territorial boundaries without a lawful basis; California law provides circumstances for statewide authority.

08 Adult allegedly confined unlawfully. An allegation of forcible seizure and extended detention of an adult, described by complainants as kidnapping.

09 Adult in juvenile holding cell. An allegation of holding an adult in a juvenile detention cell at the Glendale police station for more than 27 hours.

10 Telephone access allegedly denied. An allegation of denial of required telephone access after arrest or booking.

11 Alleged assault during detention. An allegation that the detained person was struck or assaulted and that official actors participated or permitted the conduct.

12 Involuntary hold concerns. An allegation that officials sought to initiate a 5150 and/or 5250 psychiatric process without appropriate clinical or procedural grounds.

## Disability, injury and vehicle towing

13 Disabled woman removed from car. An allegation that a disabled woman was physically removed from a car in a parking area.

14 Post-surgical bleeding. An allegation that the woman was left standing while a surgical site was bleeding.

15 Emergency medical aid. An allegation that appropriate immediate medical assistance was not arranged for the woman.

16 Disputed vehicle towing. An allegation that the woman's vehicle was towed without lawful grounds in the particular circumstances.

## Oversight, jurisdiction and related persons

17 Internal Affairs response. A claim that complaints submitted to Glendale and LAPD Internal Affairs did not receive meaningful investigation.

18 Noncooperation rationale disputed. A claim that an asserted refusal to cooperate was inconsistent with continuing complaints, filings and follow-up activity.

19 Family influence questions. Questions concerning whether claimed family ties across law-enforcement agencies affected appointment, oversight or access; neither the ties nor undue influence are established here.

20 Retired-officer authority claims. Allegations that certain retired law-enforcement associates presented themselves as current LAPD officers or claimed police authority without appropriate status.

21 Advisory and homicide work. Questions concerning the formal roles, if any, of retired or affiliated individuals in government consultation or homicide investigations.

## Public finance and employment

| | |
|---|---|
| 22 | Donation and accounting anomalies. Questions prompted by purported repeated round-number amounts and missing supporting documentation in financial records; no original ledger or statistical audit is included. |
| 23 | Pensions and public compensation. Questions concerning retired public employees' pensions and the propriety of their conduct, if any; lawful benefits alone are not evidence of wrongdoing. |
| 24 | Hiring and early compensation. Questions about possible preferential hiring or compensation. Public payroll figures are established; nepotism or improper influence is not. |

## Rights, complaint procedures, and legal context

The following citations identify areas potentially relevant if specific facts are established. Their presence is not a finding of liability, a prediction of a court outcome, or a guarantee against a legal challenge.

Speech and petition: First Amendment; California Constitution art. I, §2(a); New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254 (1964); California Civil Code §47. Opinion and fair, accurate reporting may be protected in relevant circumstances; inaccurate statements of purported fact can still carry consequences. [R6, R7]

Police complaints: California Penal Code §832.5 and California DOJ complaint procedures; California Supreme Court, L.A. Police Protective League v. City of L.A. (2025, modified Jan. 2026), addressing the burden imposed by a police-complaint statute. [R4, R5, R15]

Detention and official power: U.S. Constitution amends. IV and XIV; 42 U.S.C. §1983; 18 U.S.C. §§241–242; Penal Code §§149, 830.1 and 851.5. Municipal peace officers may have specified authority beyond municipal boundaries. [R8–R10]

Sexual misconduct in custody: California Penal Code §289.6; the exact offense depends on proof and statutory elements. [R12]

Disability, psychiatric detention, and medical needs: ADA Title II, 42 U.S.C. §12132; Welfare and Institutions Code §§5150, 5250; applicable constitutional protections. [R11]

Historical context: Magna Carta (1215), Petition of Right (1628), Bill of Rights (1791), Fourteenth Amendment (1868), and Civil Rights Act of 1871 are historical antecedents; they do not independently prove any alleged incident.

## Methods, sources and reporting

This release uses selected publicly accessible sources. It does not constitute a forensic audit of accounting records, certified docket search of every allegation, statistical fraud determination, or adjudication. An AI flag, repeated even-dollar amount, pension listing, pay figure, or shared surname alone cannot establish corruption, criminal activity or family influence.

Recipients may preserve lawful firsthand observations and use established reporting channels. The document does not request confrontation, harassment or interference with official duties. Do not publish protected medical or identifying information about alleged victims.

AI/editorial status: Generated with AI assistance for public-interest analysis. The conclusions and concerns are editorial evaluations; automation is not an independent source of evidence and does not confer immunity from liability.

[R1] Transparent California: Jeffrey J Antenucci, Glendale 2024 salary history
https://transparentcalifornia.com/salaries/2024/glendale/jeffrey-j-antenucci

[R2] GovSalaries: Jeffrey J Antenucci 2024 Glendale record
https://govsalaries.com/jeffrey-j-antenucci-202374347

[R3] LAFPP Board agenda package (2019), James M. Antenucci DROP/pension entry
https://content.lafpp.lacity.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/03212019_agenda_pkg.pdf

[R4] California Department of Justice: Local Law Enforcement Agency Complaints
https://oag.ca.gov/police-complaints

[R5] California Supreme Court, Los Angeles Police Protective League v. City of Los Angeles (modified Jan 21 2026)
https://law.justia.com/cases/california/supreme-court/2026/s275272m.html

[R6] New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254 (1964)
https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/376/254

[R7] California Civil Code section 47
https://codes.findlaw.com/ca/civil-code/civ-sect-47/

[R8] California Penal Code section 851.5
https://law.justia.com/codes/california/code-pen/part-2/title-3/chapter-5/section-851-5/

[R9] California Penal Code section 830.1
https://law.justia.com/codes/california/code-pen/part-2/title-3/chapter-4-5/section-830-1/

[R10] 42 U.S.C. section 1983
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/1983

[R11] 42 U.S.C. section 12132 (Americans with Disabilities Act Title II)
https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/12132

[R12] California Penal Code section 289.6
https://california.public.law/codes/penal_code_section_289.6